

VALUE-BASED HEALTHCARE CONFERENCE 2024

22-23 AUGUST 2024

Efficacy, Feasibility and Cost-effectiveness of Parent Coaching via Telerehabilitation for Autism in Early Childhood

Authors: Chong Shang Chee^{1,2} (Main), Isaac Sia¹, Kang Ying Qi^{1,2}, Aishworiya Ramkumar^{1,2}, Evania Yeo¹, Lee Huijun¹, Philina Lai¹

1) Child Development Unit, Khoo Teck Puat-National University Children's Medical Institute, National University Health System, Singapore

2) Department of Paediatrics, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore.

AIMS


- Is telerehabilitation as effective as standard care in improving short term outcomes for children with autism and their parents?
- How feasible and acceptable is telerehabilitation?
- Is telerehabilitation cost-effective relative to standard care?

BACKGROUND

- Global increase in autism prevalence, with 1 in 100 children in Singapore with autism¹
- Robust and growing evidence base demonstrating effectiveness of Naturalistic Developmental Behavioural Interventions^{2, 3, 4}
- Telerehabilitation has increased access to intervention services in rural or geographically large settings⁵. Other benefits include remote guided practice of therapeutic strategies⁶ and reduced costs
- Preliminary evidence that telerehabilitation is comparable with face-to-face intervention⁷

METHODOLOGY

- A parallel-group, randomised, controlled, non-inferiority trial was conducted from January 2019 to May 2023 (Published trial protocol)⁸
- Inclusion criteria: a) Children aged 15 – 48 months, b) Diagnosis of autism based on ADOS-2, c) At least one parent with access to the internet and is digitally literate
- Therapists coached parents on intervention strategies using an in-house therapy program based on the Foundational Skills Curriculum⁹



ADOS-2: Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-2

Primary outcome measure:

MSEL: Mullen Scales of Early Learning

Secondary outcome measures:

VABS-III: Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scales

PSI: Parental Stress Index

JERI: Joint Engagement Rating Inventory

NDBI-Fi: Implementation fidelity of NDBI strategies by caregiver

FEIQoL: Families in Early Intervention Quality of Life

All other outcome measures were designed in accordance to study needs.

KEY FINDINGS

200 children (Mean age = 28.41 months, SD = 8.15; 69% male) were randomised into telerehabilitation (n=102) and standard care (n=98). Quantitative data used for analysis included data from the standard (n=68) and telerehabilitation (n=50) arms.

- **MSEL:** Improvement was observed in receptive language and overall Early Learning Composite (ELC). Telerehabilitation is **non-inferior based on 3 of 4 MSEL subscales (visual reception, fine motor and receptive language)**. It is **inconclusive** if telerehabilitation is non-inferior to standard care based on ELC and Expressive Language scores.

	Standard				Telerehabilitation			
	Baseline (n=98)	Final (n=68)	p	Cohen's d	Baseline (n=102)	Final (n=50)	p	Cohen's d
MSEL T-Score, Mean(SD)								
Visual Reception	31.2 (10.8)	35.1 (15.9)	0.438	0.29	31.4 (11.6)	34.2 (16.2)	0.082	0.20
Fine Motor	31.7 (11.6)	35.3 (16.0)	0.494	0.26	31.9 (11.9)	31.9 (16.1)	0.328	0.00
Receptive Language	26.4 (9.3)	32.9 (13.9)	<0.001	0.55	26.8 (9.7)	31.1 (12.6)	<0.001	0.38
Expressive Language	26.0 (9.4)	30.6 (10.4)	<0.001	0.46	26.5 (8.6)	27.8 (10.2)	0.237	0.14
Early Learning Composite (ELC)	62.5 (12.7)	70.7 (22.9)	0.009	0.44	61.6 (13.2)	67.2 (21.3)	0.012	0.32

- **VABS-III:** Strong evidence that telerehabilitation is **comparable** to standard care based on overall Adaptive Behaviour Composite and all subdomains of VABS-III, including the socialization subdomain.

	Standard				Telerehabilitation				p Difference
	Baseline (n=98)	Final (n=68)	p	Cohen's d	Baseline (n=102)	Final (n=50)	p	Cohen's d	
VABS-III, Mean (SD)									
Adaptive Behaviour Composite	69.1 (11.3)	78.5 (12.3)	<0.001	0.80	66.9 (10.8)	74.6 (12.3)	<0.001	0.67	0.948
Communication	61.6 (17.9)	77.5 (16.8)	<0.001	0.92	60.1 (18.6)	70.6 (18.3)	<0.001	0.57	0.728
Daily Living Skills	74.0 (15.4)	85.1 (14.7)	<0.001	0.74	70.6 (14.1)	82.9 (13.7)	<0.001	0.88	0.707
Socialisation	74.4 (12.5)	78.5 (12.4)	0.051	0.33	71.3 (11.0)	74.4 (12.3)	0.004	0.27	0.681

- **JERI:** Parents in both groups significantly and **comparatively** improved in joint engagement, an important precursor to language and social communication.
- **FEIQoL:** Increase in QoL **across both arms**, especially in access to information.
- **PSI:** No pre-post difference in parenting stress **across both arms**.
- **NDBI-Fi:** Parents in the telerehabilitation arm have **reached or are close to reaching fidelity** in carrying out the different NDBI strategies.

	Standard	Telerehab
Cost		
Therapy	\$752.00	\$602.80
Transportation	\$296.90	\$34.90
Productivity Loss from Transportation Time	\$388.30	\$49.20
Productivity Loss from Therapy Time	\$419.00	\$265.30
Total Program Cost	\$1,856.20	\$952.20
Interventionist Time	\$1,267.00	\$898.00
Space Rental	\$61.00	\$26.00
Total Healthcare System Cost	\$1,328.00	\$924.00

- **Overall cost reduction of 48.8% and 30.4% for telerehabilitation in total program and healthcare system costs respectively.**

- a) **Direct (i.e. therapy) and indirect (i.e. transportation and productivity loss) cost savings**
- b) **Manpower time and rental cost savings for healthcare provider**

	Qualitative themes from interview
High acceptability	Reduced cost, time and travel Eliminated challenges of commuting Positive experience with therapist's guidance
Limited feasibility	Home environment: Familiar but uncondusive Video conferencing: Constraints in therapist's guidance, difficulties in observing interaction, distracted by device

- Telerehabilitation was **highly acceptable** to parents with **some limitations in feasibility**
- **Parent satisfaction survey: Majority of parents in telerehab agreed that the program helped their child's learning**

CONCLUSION

- Telerehabilitation was largely comparable to standard care (face-to-face intervention) based on child, parent and parent-child outcomes
- This alternative platform for coaching parents of children with autism has cost savings and is acceptable to parents
- Future studies could explore designing a hybrid program to optimise the benefits of both face-to-face and telerehabilitation sessions for parent coaching

The study was funded under the Ministry of Health, Health Service Development Program (HSDP) Project No.: 18NU02

¹Zheng, R. M., Chan, S. P., Law, E. C., Chong, S. C., & Aishworiya, R. (2023). Validity and feasibility of using the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers, Revised with Follow-Up (M-CHAT-R/F) in primary care clinics in Singapore. *Autism*, 0(0).

²Tiede, G., & Walton, K. M. (2019). Meta-analysis of naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions for young children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, 23(8), 2080-2095.

³Sandbank, M., Bottema-Beutel, K., Crowley, S., Cassidy, M., Dunham, K., Feldman, J. I., ... & Woynaroski, T. G. (2020). Project AIM: Autism intervention meta-analysis for studies of young children. *Psychological bulletin*, 146(1), 1.

⁴Song, J., Reilly, M., & Reichow, B. (2024). Overview of Meta-Analyses on Naturalistic Developmental Behavioral Interventions for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 1-13.

⁵Simacek, J., Elmquist, M., Dimian, A. F., & Reichle, J. (2021). Current trends in telehealth applications to deliver social communication interventions for young children with or at risk for autism spectrum disorder. *Current Developmental Disorders Reports*, 8, 15-23.

⁶Sutherland, R., Trembath, D., & Roberts, J. (2018). Telehealth and autism: A systematic search and review of the literature. *International journal of speech-language pathology*, 20(3), 324-336.

⁷Pacia, C., Holloway, J., Gunning, C., & Lee, H. (2022). A systematic review of family-mediated social communication interventions for young children with autism. *Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 9(2), 208-234.

⁸Sim IKM, Kang YQ, Lai PL, Mahesh M, Chong SC. Parent coaching via telerehabilitation for young children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials*, 2023 Jul 19;24(1):462.

⁹Cumine, V., Dunlop, J., & Stevenson, G. (2009). *Autism in the early years: A practical guide*. Routledge.